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Time Series Center

• An interdisciplinary group created in 2008 at the Initiative in Innovative Computing (IIC).

• Moved to Institute of Applied Computational Sciences in 2010 (IACS).

• Focus now is in astronomy but since early 2010 we have labor data, real estate data, heart monitor data, archeological data, brain activity etc. and research activities in these areas.

• Vision: Largest time series collection and center of expertise in time series analysis.
Largest collection of time series

Data

Science questions

Analysis tools
Why time series
Data (astronomy)

**MACHO**  66 million objects. 1000 flux observations per object in 2 bands (wavelengths)

**EROS**  ~100 million objects. 1000 flux observations per object in 2 bands

**OGLE**  few million objects.

**TAOS**  100000 objects. 100K flux observations per object.

**ESSENCE**  thousands objects, hundred observations.

**Pan-STARRS**  billion of objects. (only medium deep fields for now)

**MMT**  occultation studies, variability studies,

**SDSS 82**  1 million objects ugriz about 100 epochs

**DASCH**  Harvard plates, 100 years

**LSST**  A lot!
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Hardware/Computational

**Storage:**
- Disk: ~100 TB of disk space
- Filesystems: Lustre, NFS

**Computing:**
- Odyssey cluster at Harvard (~8000 cores)
- GPU cluster with 32 machines with 2xNvidia Tesla T10

**db:**
- Postgresql, migrating to BigTable and MapReduce.
- Multiple machines with a lot of memory.

**Web server:**
- 2 dual machines
- Web site with search engine and online tools and VO standards
Questions/Wish List

- **Classification**
  - Be able to classify objects based on their variability characteristics: Quasars, Variable Stars, Supernovae, etc

- **Period finding**
  - For *sparse* and noisy data, period determination is not easy
Questions/Wish List

• **Novelty detection**
  – Classify something as novel
  – Pulsars (Jocelyn Bell Burnell and Antony Hewish 1967 while looking for Quasars).
  – CMB (Arno Penzias and Robert Wilson 1967 using a horn antenna designed to relay telephone calls via satellite
  – Four Jovian moons (Galileo 1609)
Questions/Wish List

• **Event detection**
  - Rare, low signal-to-noise ratio

Occultation
Microlensing
Stellar Flares

---
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Questions/Wish List

• **Time Series modeling**
  
  autoregresssion coefficients
The challenges

• Educate astronomers in machine learning/statistics
• Large dataset
  – MACHO 1990s 1TBytes
  – SDSS 40TBytes
  – Pan-STARRS 2011: 2 Tbytes per night
• Irregular sampling
• White, red, blue, purple noise that sometimes is not even stable
• Dealing with the cultures.
Classification

• SVN for classifying periodic variables; use:
  – color,
  – magnitude,
  – period estimations and
  – lightcurve morphology

analyze the whole MACHO and EROS database of 120 million lightcurves (Wachman et al 2009, Protopapas et al 2011)

• Cross-correlation

\[ K(f, g) = \max_{\tau} \sum_{i} (f_i - g_{i+\tau})^2 \]

• Improve kernel

\[ K(f, g) = \sum_{\tau} \exp\left(\sum_{i} (f_i - g_{i+\tau})^2\right) \]

• Results: 14000 new periodic variable stars, doubling the number of known variables in the LMC
typical light curves
Other features: brightness, color, period

- Combine the kernels in linearly and optimized for coefficients

\[ K = \alpha_{CC} K_{cc} + \alpha_{COL} K_{COL} + \alpha_P K_P + \alpha_M K_M \]
Classification (non-periodic)

- Use support vector machine (SVM) using many extracted features from the time series (Kim et al 2011) for QSO classification.

- Previous time series work shows very low efficiency
  - Geha et al. 2003 selected total ~2,500 QSOs candidates
  - Manually removed 2,140 false positives and observed only 260 targets spectroscopically of them were confirmed as QSOs; ~2%

- False positives are Be stars
- Training set:
  - Use 50 known MACHO QSOs to train (again using SVN)
  - 58 QSOs
  - 128 Be stars
  - 582 Microlensings
  - 193 Eclipsing Binaries
  - 288 RR Lyraes
  - 73 Cepheids
  - 365 Long period variables
  - 4,288 Non-variable stars
Example Light-Curves of MACHO QSOs and Be Stars

Be stars

June 2011, CfA-WFA, P. Protopapas
Stern et al. 2005
Photometric redshift
MACHO UBVI catalog (Zaritsky et al. 2004)
2MASS catalog
Separated stars and ‘Galaxies and AGNs using criteria from
Eisenhardt et al. (2004) and Rowan-Robinson et al. (2005).
Photometric redshifts (Rowan-Robinson 2003)
X-ray luminosity.

Cross-match with Chandra and XMM

Use photo-z from above
• 650 final candidates that did not fail any of the above tests.
Spectroscopic validation

- Proposed time on IMACS to follow candidates
- Apply model to PanSTARRs MD field
Anomaly detection

• Protopapas et al 2006 used Euclidean distances of the shape to find anomalous lightcurves in an ensemble of lightcurves. Use universal phasing to deal with phase invariance of periodic variables.

• U. Rebbapragada 2009 extended k-means to pk-means for periodic lightcurves where we need phase invariance.

• Majidi 2011 (submitted) using active learning methods.
Event detection – rank statistics

Idea: Given a time series find the region [sub sequence of measurements] that are not consistent with noise.

Let $f_i$ be the value at time $t_i$ calculate the statistics

$$S(r, w) = \sum_{i=r}^{r+w} f_i$$
Need p-value. Build the distribution and estimate $P(S(r,w) > S_0)$.

We could simulate null time series with the same noise characteristics and build distribution of $P(S)$.

**Problem:**

1) Too expensive for large datasets

2) Modeling the noise is not as simple

**Solution 1:**

Reshuffle the sequence (the noise model is taken care). Do this many times and each time calculate all $S(r,w)$. Choose $S_0$ and thus $P(S(r,w) > S_0)$. [Or use bootstrapping method]

No good. We need to this for each time series since the noise could be very different (data are taken different times, different filters etc)
We need something that eliminates the need for modeling the noise.

**Solution 2:** Rank the $y$-values (flux)

Consider a time series $T$ with $n$ points. We then create a time series $T_R$ by converting each point in $T$ into its ranked value. Thus, the highest point in $T_R$ will be $n$, the second highest $n - 1$, third highest $n - 2$, etc.

$$Q(r,w) = \sum_{i=r}^{r+w} R_i$$

where R’s are the rank values and $Q$ is the new statistics (equivalent to $S$)

Advantage: All time series of $n$ points in rank space have exactly the same $P(Q_0)$. We need to calculate this only once. Then for real data we calculate $Q(r,w)$ and we know the $p$-value for each point.

To calculate this simply select $w$ numbers out of $1..n$ and calculate $Q$. From that build the distribution $P(Q_0)$. This probability depends on $n$ and $w$ but not on the location: $P(Q;n,w)$
Better. **This distribution can be found analytically**

It is the same problem as finding the number of partitions of $S$ with $w$ distinct parts, each part between 1 and $n$, inclusive. Consider the values $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_w$.

If we can find all possible solutions to $0 < e_1 < e_2 < \ldots < e_w \leq n$, we can simply multiply by $w!$ (all possible permutations) and obtain our result.

This will be the same as the following: Subtract 1 from the smallest part, 2 from the second, etc. to get

$$0 \leq e_1 - 1 \leq e_2 - 2 \leq \ldots \leq e_w - w \leq n - w.$$  

We have subtracted a total of

$$1 + 2 + \ldots + w = w(w + 1)/2,$$  

so we are now looking for the number of partitions of $-w(w + 1)/2$ with at most $w$ parts, and with largest part at most $n - w$.

To find the number of partitions, we consider a specific application of q-binomial coefficients.
A similar problem is finding the number of distinct partitions of k elements which fit inside an m by n rectangle. This can be found by finding the coefficient of $q^k$ in the q-binomial coefficient.

An iterative solution does exist. To do so, we create the following recurrence

$$P(Q;n,w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P(Q - j; w - 1, j - 1)$$

The probability distribution build “red” by simulation and “green” with the analytical formula.
$P(Q(r,w); w, n)$ for synthetic lightcurves
Note that the surface of the p-value is smooth with respect to $r$ and $w$. We therefore used optimization method to determine the solutions.
test3: Real data. MACHO looking for microlensing.
Event detection: wavelets

- Fit wavelets to the timeseries and compare the low frequency to the high frequency coefficients.
- We measure the difference in maximized log-likelihoods (low and high components) \(= 2*(l_{\text{high}} - l_{\text{low}})\)
False positives

- To assess how well this statistic perform, we simulated 50,000 events from physics-based model and 50,000 null time series
- We use False Recovery Rate (FDR) of $10^{-4}$ (Benjamini-Hochberg approach)
Isolated vs diffused events

Example light curves -- fitted values

CUSUM = 1.73157; DV = 0.0768301
CUSUM = 0.910423; DV = 0.010472
CUSUM = 3.17486; DV = -0.408233
Use two features to discriminate between diffuse and isolated variability

1. CUSUM statistics: Let \( \{z_t\} \) be the normalized fitted values (without the trend component). We define:

\[
S_t = \sum_{k=1}^{t} (z_k^2 - 1)
\]

\[
CUSUM = \max_t S_t - \min_t S_t
\]

2. The second feature tries to capture the deviation from symmetric variation. Let \( z_{med} \) is the median of \( z_t \)

\[
DV = \frac{1}{\# \{t: z_t > z_{med}\}} \sum_{t:z_t > z_{med}} z_t^2 - \frac{1}{\# \{t: z_t < z_{med}\}} \sum_{t:z_t < z_{med}} z_t^2
\]
Distribution of features on MACHO data: TRAINING
Training

- Cross validation on MACHO training data
Results

• Started with 87.2 million candidate lightcurves in EROS db.
• Reduce it to 500,000 after likelihood-ratio test
• Approximately 5000 are likely isolated events based on the isolated event stage
• Currently pursuing scientific follow-up on top candidates
Examples top 6

\[ p(\text{event}) = 0.999703 \]

\[ p(\text{event}) = 0.999646 \]
Examples top 6
Period finding

Lesson learned during periodic variables is that period estimation is not so easy if we require high accuracy

• Gaussian processes (fit multivariate Gaussians):
  – We use the following kernel
    \[
    K(t_1,t_2) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{2\sin^2(w\pi(t_1-t_2))}{l^2}\right\}
    \]
  – And use Bayesian approach to identify the hyper-parameters that maximize the marginal likelihood, where the “marginal” means that we integrate out the latent function that associated with the data. Wang et al 2011 (submitted to KDD)

• Correntropy. Instead of finding the peaks in the power spectrum of autocorrelation we use Correntropy
    \[
    V[m] \propto \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{|x_n - x_{n-m}|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}
    \]
Period finding
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## Period finding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period estimation methods</th>
<th>Hits[%]</th>
<th>Multiples[%]</th>
<th>Misses[%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slotted correntropy + IP</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slotted correlation + IP</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VarTools LS</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VarTools LS + IP</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VarTools AoV</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SigSpec</td>
<td>95.50</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLLK</td>
<td>68.50</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLLK + IP</td>
<td>90.25</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Period finding

• New extensions
  – Try periodic kernels of the type
    \[ V[m] \propto \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\left| t_n - t_{n-m} - kP_c \right|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \]
  – Use spatio-temporal kernel (here temporal kernel deals with variable sampling)
    \[ V[m] \propto \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x_n - x_{n-m}|^2}{2\sigma_x^2} \right\} \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|t_n - t_{n-m}|^2}{2\sigma_t^2} \right\} \]
Autoregressive models

• Assume linear response of the variability and model it using autoregressive process.
• Check partial autocorrelation of lightcurve and determine the scale $n$ of AR($n$).
• Then determine the

$$AR(1)$$

$$X_t = \alpha X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha < 1$$

$$AR(2)$$

$$X_t = \alpha_1 X_{t-1} + \alpha_2 X_{t-2} + \epsilon_t$$
Autoregressive models

• Try it to a sample of AGNs, periodic variables and others
• We found that most AGNs have AR(2) (implication of accretion disk instabilities).
Autoregressive models

- Unfortunately Be stars have the similar length AR(2) but there is hope. HOT OUT OF THE OVEN
Summary

• Time domain astronomy is happening now.
• We should be able to handle the new challenges.
• Serendipitous discoveries are not possible so we need statistics/machine learning
• Need cross disciplinary work
• A lot of exciting new science will come out

THE SUPER CLASSIFICATOR SHOULD BE DOABLE NOW