Indirect dark matter detection and the Galactic Center GeV Excess

Jennifer Siegal-Gaskins Caltech



Jennifer Siegal-Gaskins Caltech



Jennifer Siegal-Gaskins Caltech

How to detect particle dark matter?



Indirect dark matter signals



Credit: Sky & Telescope / Gregg Dinderman

Dark matter photon spectra

- "soft" channels = quarks, W, z
- "hard" channels = charged leptons (e, μ, τ)
- direct annihilation to photons = line emission (YY, ZY)



Spectra calculated with PPPC 4 DM ID [Cirelli et al. 2010]

$$K_{\rm ann} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}E} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2m_{\chi}^2} \qquad \qquad J_{\rm ann}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{los} \mathrm{d}s \ \rho^2(s,\psi)$$

$$K_{\rm ann} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}E} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2m_{\chi}^2}$$

$$J_{\rm ann}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{los} \mathrm{d}s \ \rho^2(s,\psi)$$



$$K_{\rm ann} = \frac{{\rm d}N}{{\rm d}E} \underbrace{\langle \sigma v \rangle}_{2m_{\chi}^2} \qquad J_{\rm ann}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{los} {\rm d}s \ \rho^2(s,\psi)$$

average of pair annihilation cross
section times relative velocity

$$K_{\rm ann} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}E} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2m_{\chi}^2} \qquad \qquad J_{\rm ann}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{los} \mathrm{d}s \rho^2(s,\psi)$$

$$\int_{\rm dark\ matter\ density}$$

$$K_{\rm ann} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}E} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2m_{\chi}^2} \qquad \qquad J_{\rm ann}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{los} \mathrm{d}s \ \rho^2(s,\psi)$$

Indirect dark matter signals





The Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT)

- launched June 2008
- 20 MeV to > 300 GeV
- angular resolution:
 - ~ 0.1 deg above 10 GeV
 - ~ I deg at I GeV
- primarily sky-scanning mode for first ~ 5 years, enhanced Galactic Center observation mode began in December 2013

Fermi data and analysis tools are public!





Image credit: Springel et al. 2008

Dark matter signals from the Inner Galaxy



Dark matter signals from the Inner Galaxy



DaMaSC III | Caltech | April 17, 2014

10

see: Hooper & Goodenough 2011, Abazajian & Kaplinghat 2012, Hooper & Slatyer 2013, Gordon & Macías 2013, Abazajian et al. 2014, Daylan et al. 2014, and others DaMaSC III | Caltech | April 17, 2014

• Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).

see: Hooper & Goodenough 2011, Abazajian & Kaplinghat 2012, Hooper & Slatyer 2013, Gordon & Macías 2013, Abazajian et al. 2014, Daylan et al. 2014, DaMaSC III | Caltech | April 17, 2014 and others

- Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).
- Energy spectrum of the excess:

see: Hooper & Goodenough 2011, Abazajian & Kaplinghat 2012, Hooper & Slatyer 2013, Gordon & Macías 2013, Abazajian et al. 2014, Daylan et al. 2014, DaMaSC III | Caltech | April 17, 2014 and others

- Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).
- Energy spectrum of the excess:
 - can be fit by DM with mass of ~10-40 GeV, depending on channel

- Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).
- Energy spectrum of the excess:
 - can be fit by DM with mass of ~10-40 GeV, depending on channel
 - uncomfortably similar to MSPs

- Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).
- Energy spectrum of the excess:
 - can be fit by DM with mass of ~10-40 GeV, depending on channel
 - uncomfortably similar to MSPs
- Excess is spatially extended:

- Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).
- Energy spectrum of the excess:
 - can be fit by DM with mass of ~10-40 GeV, depending on channel
 - uncomfortably similar to MSPs
- Excess is spatially extended:
 - if from annihilation, need steep DM density profile $r^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1.2-1.4$

- Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).
- Energy spectrum of the excess:
 - can be fit by DM with mass of ~10-40 GeV, depending on channel
 - uncomfortably similar to MSPs
- Excess is spatially extended:
 - if from annihilation, need steep DM density profile $r^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1.2-1.4$
 - uncertain if MSPs could explain large extension and steep profile

- Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).
- Energy spectrum of the excess:
 - can be fit by DM with mass of ~10-40 GeV, depending on channel
 - uncomfortably similar to MSPs
- Excess is spatially extended:
 - if from annihilation, need steep DM density profile $r^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1.2-1.4$
 - uncertain if MSPs could explain large extension and steep profile
- To generate amplitude of the excess:

see: Hooper & Goodenough 2011, Abazajian & Kaplinghat 2012, Hooper & Slatyer 2013, Gordon & Macías 2013, Abazajian et al. 2014, Daylan et al. 2014, DaMaSC III | Caltech | April 17, 2014 and others

- Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).
- Energy spectrum of the excess: ۲
 - can be fit by DM with mass of $\sim 10-40$ GeV, depending on channel
 - uncomfortably similar to MSPs
- Excess is spatially extended:
 - if from annihilation, need steep DM density profile $r^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1.2$ -1.4
 - uncertain if MSPs could explain large extension and steep profile
- To generate amplitude of the excess:
 - requires roughly thermal relic DM annihilation cross section

see: Hooper & Goodenough 2011, Abazajian & Kaplinghat 2012, Hooper & Slatyer 2013, Gordon & Macías 2013, Abazajian et al. 2014, Daylan et al. 2014, and others

- Using Fermi LAT data, multiple groups have claimed an excess at a few GeV from the Galactic Center and higher Galactic latitudes. The excess has been interpreted as emission from dark matter (DM) annihilation and/or unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs).
- Energy spectrum of the excess:
 - can be fit by DM with mass of ~10-40 GeV, depending on channel
 - uncomfortably similar to MSPs
- Excess is spatially extended:
 - if from annihilation, need steep DM density profile $r^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1.2-1.4$
 - uncertain if MSPs could explain large extension and steep profile
- To generate amplitude of the excess:
 - requires roughly thermal relic DM annihilation cross section
 - would require a few thousand MSPs, which seems plausible

see: Hooper & Goodenough 2011, Abazajian & Kaplinghat 2012, Hooper & Slatyer 2013, Gordon & Macías 2013, Abazajian et al. 2014, Daylan et al. 2014, and others

DaMaSC III | Caltech | April 17, 2014

Energy spectrum of excess in Galactic Center



Excess is spatially extended



Excess over what?

What's in the model:

- Galactic diffuse emission associated with cosmic-ray interactions (sum of many processes)
- isotropic gamma-ray background (measured)
- detected gamma-ray sources (e.g., pulsars, supernova remnants)

What's not in the model:

- unresolved gamma-ray sources
- dark matter

Fermi LAT data 0.69 – 0.95 GeV observed counts



Abazajian & Kaplinghat 2012

DaMaSC III | Caltech | April 17, 201

Residuals

(for best-fit model w/o dark matter component) 1-2 GeV residual



Residuals

(for best-fit model w/o dark matter component) 1-2 GeV residual



Residuals

(for best-fit model w/o dark matter component) 1-2 GeV residual





Hooper, Cholis, Linden, JSG, Slatyer 2013



source count distribution (|b|>10 deg)

 adopt a spatial model and luminosity function for the MSPs, calibrated to detections in radio

Sources

base model can for roughly account for the amplitude of Inner Galaxy excess, but strongly overpredicts number of Fermi-detected MSPs



Hooper, Cholis, Linden, JSG, Slatyer 2013



adjusting MSP model parameters to better reproduce the observed source counts leads to models that cannot explain the *amplitude* of the observed excess

Hooper, Cholis, Linden, JSG, Slatyer 2013

Number of Sources

Multi-wavelength dark matter photon spectra

DM spectrum from the Galactic Center

- secondary photon emission associated with charged particle final states:
 - bremsstrahlung
 - inverse Compton scattering of starlight, CMB
 - synchrotron due to magnetic fields



Bed of Procrustes



Bed of Procrustes



- Lacroix et al. point out importance of:
 - inverse Compton
 - propagation model
 - diffusion (and latitude dependence of secondary emission)

• Hard to (fully) explain with gamma-ray millisecond pulsars. Other source populations?

- Hard to (fully) explain with gamma-ray millisecond pulsars. Other source populations?
- Attributable to uncertainties in modeling of Galactic diffuse emission?

- Hard to (fully) explain with gamma-ray millisecond pulsars. Other source populations?
- Attributable to uncertainties in modeling of Galactic diffuse emission?
 - Sum of several processes with not-strongly-constrained inputs:
 - cosmic-ray spectra and distribution
 - gas distribution
 - interstellar radiation field
 - magnetic fields

- Hard to (fully) explain with gamma-ray millisecond pulsars. Other source populations?
- Attributable to uncertainties in modeling of Galactic diffuse emission?
 - Sum of several processes with not-strongly-constrained inputs:
 - cosmic-ray spectra and distribution
 - gas distribution
 - interstellar radiation field
 - magnetic fields
 - Galactic diffuse model tuned to fit all-sky data

- Hard to (fully) explain with gamma-ray millisecond pulsars. Other source populations?
- Attributable to uncertainties in modeling of Galactic diffuse emission?
 - Sum of several processes with not-strongly-constrained inputs:
 - cosmic-ray spectra and distribution
 - gas distribution
 - interstellar radiation field
 - magnetic fields
 - Galactic diffuse model tuned to fit all-sky data
- Systematics? (Not statistics-limited!)