

Searching for Life: General Motivation and Approaches

Dr. Tiffany Kataria

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology Mon, Nov 28, 2022









Understanding Earth life helps us search for life elsewhere





www.khanacademy.org/science/biology/photosynthesis-in-plants/introduction-to-stages-of-photosynthesis/a/intro-to-photosynthesis

Credit: Laurie Barge

Earth and its life have evolved together, which is why searching for life elsewhere requires combined perspectives from planetary science, geology, biology, chemistry (and astronomy!).





https://uwaterloo.ca/wat-on-earth/news/earths-oxygen-revolution

The history of life on Earth is also the history of **oxygen** (O_2).

What would this timeline look like on another world?

Timeline of the Origin of Life (OOL) on Earth



[1] Barge et al. 2022, Astrobiology [2] Image: Henning Dalhoff/Science Photo Library; [3] Wikipedia

Various environmental settings are proposed for Earth's OOL



Possible solar system habitability includes many Ocean Worlds



Questions for astrobiology / OOL on ocean worlds and exoplanets ORGANICS CONDENSE

- What gradients are present in putative ocean world vents?
- What minerals / metal catalysts are present?
- What is the geochemistry of the oceans / rock / ice?
- How would Earth-like prebiotic reactions be different under these conditions?
- What other prebiotic / biotic histories are possible?
- What would the biosignature threshold be for this world?



ENCELADUS

Image: NASA/JPL-Caltech

Credit: Laurie Barge



Investigating Biosignatures: How can we differentiate life from non life?



Barge L.M., Rodriguez L.E., Weber J.M., Theiling B.P. Determining the "Biosignature Threshold" for Life Detection on Biotic, Abiotic, or Prebiotic Worlds. Astrobiology 2021, http://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2021.0079.

Approahces to identifying Biosignatures

Planetary Science

In-situ measurements



Only (mostly) global conditions, only remote measurements



Local surface biology



	Type	Biosignatures	Examples	Appropriate techniques
In situ Visual biosignature Chemical biosignature	Visual biosignatures	Direct observation of active life	Cellular structures (possibly seen to be motile or reproducing)	Microscopy or macroscopic imagery
		Fossils	Fossilized cells	
		Artifacts of life	Stromatolites or endolithic microborings	
	Chemical biosignatures	Biological macromolecules	Proteins or nucleic acid polymers (e.g., DNA, RNA)	Gas chromatography– mass spectrometry,
	C	Molecular fossils	Breakdown products of biomolecules, such as hopanoids or steranes	Raman spectroscopy, X-ray spectroscopy
		Molecular evidence of metabolism	Biogenic biases, such as isotopic fractionation or homochirality	
		Thermodynamic or kinetic	Gradients of redox species in	
Domagal- Goldman and Wright et al. 2016		disequilibrium within environment	column of lake water	
		Biominerals	Certain silicate, carbonate, or iron minerals, or metal enrichments of, <i>e.g.</i> , Cu, Mo, Ni, W	
Remote	Spectral biosignatures	Large-scale environmental disequilibrium Photosynthetic life	Atmospheric disequilibrium, e.g., O_2 and CH_4 Red edge of vegetation	IR and visible spectroscopy
	Spatially resolved	Geometrical structures of intelligent life	Roads, cities, agriculture, large- scale landscape modification	Optical imaging
	Electromagnetic emissions	Intelligent broadcasts	Radio or optical signals from a civilization	Radio or optical sky surveys

TABLE 7. DIFFERENT TYPES OF POTENTIAL IN SITU AND REMOTE BIOSIGNATURES



Biosignature investigations now take many forms Linking biomasses and biosignature detection



Link the detection of biosignature gases to biomass estimates from the literature

The Power of the Mid-IR in identifying biosignatures

- Access to thermal emission and the temperature structure of atmospheres
- Absorption features for a range of biologically interesting gases
- Origins considered $O_3 + CH_4$ and $O_3 + N_2O$ biosignature pairs





Figures from the Origins Space Telescope Concept Study Report

New investigations of novel (mid-IR) biosignatures

Methyl bromide as a biosignature (Leung et al. 2022)

Investigating N₂O alone as a biosignature (Schwieterman et al. 2022)



Astro2020 mid-IR trade studies



- For *Origins*, conducted detailed trade studies to identify ideal wavelength range for confidently detecting biosignatures and other biologically relevant gases
 - However, our studies were limited to M-dwarf HZ planets
- Future studies using mid-IR spectroscopy to determine habitability should explore a range of stellar and planet types



Tremblay et al. (2020)

Ultimately, the search for life will require complex trades and a holistic treatment of exoplanets and their environments



Meadows & Barnes, 2018

Additional slides

Life can use almost any energy source, and the first life on Earth was likely chemosynthetic.



Image: IFE, URI-IAO, UW, Lost City Science Party; NOAA/OAR/OER; Lost City 2005 Exp./CC BY 2.0

Consider Early Earth, which was also a different planet



Barth F. Smets, Ph.D. / Nature Reviews Microbiology

Some "biosignature" properties were present in the prebiotic world as well



[1] Goldman et al. 2016 JME; [2] Harris and Goldman 2020, PLoS Comput Biol

OOL research can help inform life detection

(1) Bottleneck: important exploration target

(2) Alternate prebiotic conditions leads to Earth-like life

(3) Earth-like OOL leads to alternate biotic system

(4) Rapid diversification of prebiotic systems but only one OOL event

(5) Multiple OOL events on the same planet



Scharf et al. 2017, 'A Strategy for Origins of Life Research', Astrobiology

What would each of these look like to an organic detection instrument?

EAS3: How do habitable environments arise and evolve within the context of their planetary systems?

The habitability of exoplanets is likely governed by a complex interplay of planet, star and planetary system processes over time.

EAS3.1: How are potentially habitable environments formed?

EAS3.2: What processes influence the habitability of environments?

EAS3.3: What is the range of potentially habitable environments around different types of stars?

EAS3.4: What are the key observable characteristics of habitable planets?

EAS3.1: How are potentially habitable environments formed?

Delivery of volatiles and organics are key processes in habitable planet formation.

How do spectral type, stellar metallicity, disk composition, and planet migration influence the type and amount of volatile delivery?

Need to understand solar system volatile history and volatile distributions in exoplanetary systems to constrain models of volatile delivery to forming planets.

Approach: Determine volatile content for a range of solar system small bodies and across nearby planet forming disks to constrain theoretical models of dynamical evolution and volatile accretion and delivery.



EAS3.2: What processes influence the habitability of environments?

Need to take a systems science approach to understand the influence on habitability of the characteristics and evolution of the parent star, planetary system and planet properties, and the interactions between these components

Within the solar system, understand how processes like tidal heating, bombardment, volatile loss and gain and atmospheric change affect habitability

Improve our understanding of the Earth's habitable environments over time



Meadows & Barnes, 2018

EAS3.3: What is the range of potentially habitable environments around different types of stars?

Different early luminosity environments for M dwarfs could drive ocean and atmosphere loss not seen for G dwarf planets.

Stellar energetic output - X-ray/EUV flux and flares, stellar wind, and CMEs - all influence atmospheric abundances and chemistry

Impact of magnetic field is not fully understood $\mathbb{R}^{0.10}$

How do these factors influence terrestrial exoplanet evolution and habitability including atmospheric and ocean loss, orbital evolution, and tidal heating?

Approach: Determine stellar energetic output for a range of spectral types/temporal scales to understand impact on atmospheres for a large sample of systems. UV observations of multiple planets in the same systems to connect escape and stellar output. Theory to understand atmospheric outcomes.



After Luger and Barnes, 2015 (see also Bolmont et al., 2016, Bourrier et al., 2017)

EAS3.4: What are the key observable characteristics of habitable planets?

The modern Earth provides the only observable example of a habitable surface environment.

We need to expand our understanding of habitable environments to include Earth through evolutionary time, as well as other potentially habitable environments.

Need to use atmospheric observations of Earth and potentially habitable exoplanets, as well as detailed theoretical models, to understand how to best constrain/observe these characteristics

Approach: Use theoretical modeling and observations of solar system planets to identify observations needed to discern exoplanet atmospheres and habitable surface conditions, including oceans.



Lustig-Yaeger et al., 2018

EAS3: Capabilities and Science Synergies

Question: How do habitable environments arise and evolve within the context of their planetary systems?

Capabilities:

Transmission, emission, & direct spectroscopy; solar system analog observations; laboratory work; theory

Coordination between exoplanet, planetary, earth science, and heliophysics communities through Cross-Division Data Analysis Programs, mission Participating Scientist/Guest Investigator Programs, and funding for collaborative meetings.

Support for laboratory investigations.

Overlap with other science panels:

SSSP Q3: How do physical processes drive, and interact with, stellar asymmetries? SSSP Q4: What are the properties and origins of the energetic phenomena of stars that influence their surrounding environment?

Stellar magnetic fields and their corresponding stellar output (i.e., energetic photon flux, stellar wind, Coronal Mass Ejections, and stellar flares) influence exoplanetary atmospheres and their habitability.

Goals are in line with ESS & AB strategy reports

Overlap with other EAS research areas:

Q1 discovers planets for Q3 Q3 informs target selection for Q4 & DA



EAS4: How can biosignatures be identified and interpreted in the context of their environments?

In the next 10 years we will have the opportunity to undertake the first search for biosignatures on 10-20 nearby planets orbiting M dwarfs. Significant work is still needed to understand which biosignatures to search for and how to interpret whether an observed potential biosignature has a biological or planetary origin.

EAS4.1: What biosignatures should we look for?

EAS4.2: How will we interpret the biosignatures that we see?

EAS4.3: Do any nearby M dwarf planets exhibit biosignatures?

EAS4.1: What biosignatures should we look for?

A handful of atmospheric, surface and temporal biosignatures known

Need to identify alternative metabolisms and their signatures

Develop the frontier of agnostic biosignatures

Need to understand planetary context through theoretical modeling and observations of a wide range of planets.

Approach: Use theoretical modeling and observations to identify atmospheric, surface and temporal biosignatures.



EAS4.2: How will we interpret the biosignatures that we see?

Biosignatures, including O₂ can have abiotic mimics, and can be enhanced or destroyed by their environments.

It is therefore not enough to detect a biosignature, we must also assess whether it is more likely to have a biological origin.

This assessment will need: false negatives false positives stellar and planetary environmental context a statistical framework to quantify life's likelihood.

Approach: Develop a comprehensive framework for statistical biosignature assessment in the context of the planetary environment.



EAS4.3: Do any nearby M dwarf planets exhibit biosignatures?



Initial characterization of up to a few tens of exoterrestrials over the next decade:

JWST transmission observations of T-1 and similar planets (CO_2/CH_4 disequilibrium). Ground-based ELT spectroscopy of Proxima Centauri b and dozen+ M dwarf HZ planets (O_2).

Thermal IR imaging and radiometric radii (+ RV masses) for a small handful of FGK HZ planets

Though limited to M dwarfs, these efforts may obtain the first empirical measurements of biosignature gases, if they exist on these worlds.

TRAPPIST-1/Solar System Comparison



Approach: Ground- and spacebased searches for biosignatures around M dwarfs.