## Carbon Cycle: What do we know? What else do we want to know?

Inez Fung UC Berkeley February 28 2010

## **Continuous Carbon Cycling**



Fluxes PgC/yr
 Inventory PgC
 Turnover time

 Inventory/Flux

- Atm CO2 --> inventory
- Land: turnover time  $10^{1}$ - $10^{2}$  yrs. Ocean: turnover time  $10^{2}$ - $10^{3}$  yrs
- Difficult (time consuming and expensive) to measure changes in land and ocean inventories. Focus on fluxes

## **Atm CO<sub>2</sub> measurements at Mauna Loa Obs**





#### What We've got: The data: Atm CO2 (for now)



GMD Carbon Cycle operates 4 measurement programs. Semi-continuous measurements are made at 4 GMD baseline observatories and from tall towers. Discrete samples from the cooperative air sampling network and aircraft are measured at GMD. Presently, atmospheric carbon dioxide, methane, carbon monoxide, hydrogen, nitrous oxide, sulfur hexafluoride, and the stable isotopes of carbon dioxide and methane are measured. Contact: Dr. Pieter Tans, NOAA ESRL GMD Carbon Cycle, Boulder, Colorado, (303) 497-6678 (pieter.tans@noaa.gov, http://www.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccgg).

## <u>CO<sub>2</sub> mixing times in atm:</u> ~2 wks around lat circle ~3 mo within hemisphere ~1 yr bet' hemispheres







- NOAA-ESRL
- ~ 100 sites at remote marine locations, bi-weekly flasks, 2m
- Long-term increase
- Seasonal cycle
- N-S gradient

81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 00 01 02 03 04 05 YEAR

lobal average atmospheric carbon dioxide mixing ratios (blue line) determined using measurements from D cooperative air sampling network. The red line represents the long-term trend. Bottom: Global average rate for carbon dioxide. Contact: Dr. Pieter Tans, NOAA ESRL GMD Carbon Cycle, Boulder, Colorado, 77-6678 (pieter.tans@noaa.gov, http://www.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccgg).

#### **PROBLEMS: Missing Sinks and Missing Sources**

- Only half of the CO<sub>2</sub> produced by human activities is remaining in the atmosphere
- How well do we know the <u>sources</u>?
- Where are the <u>sinks</u> that are absorbing over 40% of the CO<sub>2</sub> that we emit?
  - Land or ocean?
  - Eurasia/North America?
- Why does CO<sub>2</sub> buildup vary dramatically with nearly uniform emissions?
- How will CO<sub>2</sub> sinks respond to climate change?

Fossil Fuel Emissions of CO2 and Atmospheric Buildup, 1958-2008



## **Rule: Conservation of Carbon**



 $S = FF + LandUse + (F_{oa} - F_{ao}) + (F_{ba} - F_{ab})$ 

Separate out background (pre-industrial) from the perturbation (last 200 years) carbon cycle:

$$C = \overline{C} + C'$$
  
$$\Im(\overline{C}) = (\overline{F_{oa}} - \overline{F_{ao}}) + (\overline{F_{ba}} - \overline{F_{ab}})$$

"Steady State":

### Units: 1 Pg = 1 Gt = 10<sup>15</sup> gram

 $C(kgC / m^{3}) = \rho(kgAir / m^{3}) \times X(moleC / moleAir) \times (MWt_{C} / MWt_{air})$  $MWt_{C} = 12gm / mole; MWt_{air} = 29gm / mole$ 

$$Area = \int dxdy = 5 \times 10^{14} (m^2)$$

$$MassAtm = \int \rho dxdydz = \frac{100 P_{mb}}{g} (kgAir / m^2) \times Area \sim 5 \times 10^{18} kgAir$$

 $MassC(300 ppmv) = MassAtm \times (300 x 10^{-6}) \times (\frac{12}{29})$ ~ 600 \times 10^{12} kg = 600 PgC = 600GtC 1PgC \rightarrow 0.5 ppmv(mixed entireAtm)

## "Known" and/or Observed

- Sources: fossil fuel emission
- Atmospheric CO2
- Land carbon; incl deforestation
- Ocean carbon

### **Conservation of Perturbation Carbon in Atm**



 $S = FF + LandUse + (F_{oa} - F_{ao}) + (F_{ba} - F_{ab})$ 



## CO2 Emission from Fossil Fuel Combustion & Industrial Processes



- Liquids (~36%)
- Solids (~35%)
- Gas (~20%)
- Cement production (~3%)
- Flaring at wells (<1%)</li>
- Bunker fuels (~4%)
- Others (<1%)

CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Growth Rate: •1990-1999: 1.1% per year •2000-2004: >3% per year

## **Shifting Emission Sources**



Copyright ©2007 by the National Academy of Sciences

Raupach, Michael R. et al. (2007)

## **Fossil Fuel CO2 Emissions**

1994-1997

#### 2001-2004

Fossil Fuel CO2 Emissions 1994-1997 6.649 PgC/yr

Fossil Fuel CO2 Emissions 2001-20047.504 PgC/yr

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

## CO<sub>2</sub> is a long-distance traveller in the atmosphere

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

- ~ 100 sites at remote marine locations, bi-weekly flasks, 2m
- Long-term increase
- Seasonal cycle
- N-S gradient

81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 00 01 02 03 04 05 YEAR

lobal average atmospheric carbon dioxide mixing ratios (blue line) determined using measurements from D cooperative air sampling network. The red line represents the long-term trend. Bottom: Global average rate for carbon dioxide. Contact: Dr. Pieter Tans, NOAA ESRL GMD Carbon Cycle, Boulder, Colorado, 77-6878 (pieter.tans@noaa.gov, http://www.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccgg).

## Atm CO2 Obs: (1) Time series at stations

CO<sub>2</sub> (jumol mol<sup>-</sup>

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Mixing time between hemispheres ~ 1 year:

N-S gradient increases as FF emission (~NH source) increases

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Signature of Ecosystem C Exchange: Seasonal Cycle

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

•Mixing time within a hemisphere ~ 3 months
 •MLO seasonal cycle integrates NH vegetation dynamics
 •Amplitude of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> seasonal cycle increases poleward: telecoping of growing season and greater asynchroneity bet' fluxes

• Growing season net flux ~15-20% of annual NPP

## (2) Tall Towers: Diurnal CO2 is highly variable in boundary layer (<500m)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Local vertical mixing time- ~ minutes to hours
Diurnal cycle of photosynthesis and respiration

→ > 60 ppmv (20%) diurnal cycle near surface
 •Varying heights of the planetary boundary layer (varying mixing volumes)

## (3a) Occasional Aircraft Campaigns

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

## (3b) "Regular" Aircraft Monitoring: Vertical Profiles (free troposphere)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

A single year from the multi-year record is shown. White pluses identify altitudes at which actual samples were collected. Carbon dioxide mixing ratios (micromol CO, per mol dry air) are indicated by color. The general trend towards lower CO, mixing ratios in the spring and summer and higher CO, mixing ratios in the winter is driven primarily by ground based plant photosynthesis and respiration both locally and in surrounding regions. CO, production from fossil fuel burning and atmospheric mixing and transport also contribute to variability observed. Contact: Dr. Colm Sweeney, NOAA ESRL GMD Carbon Cycle, Boulder, Colorado, (303) 497-4771 (colm.sweeney@noaa.gov, http://www.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccgg).

#### **Conservation of Perturbation Carbon in Atm**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Terrestrial Carbon Cycle**

- Growth, mortality, decay
- GPP: Gross Primary Productivity (climate, CO<sub>2</sub>, soil H<sub>2</sub>O, resource limitation)
- Ra: Autotrophic respiration (T, live mass,...)
- Rh: Heterotrophic respiration: Decay (T, soil H<sub>2</sub>O,..)
- NPP=GPP-Ra

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Impact on Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Seasonal asynchrony between photosynthesis and decomposition

→ net fluxes of  $CO_2$  to and from atm → seasonal cycle of  $CO_2$  in atm

 Annual imbalance → carbon source/sink

## (1) History of Ecological Measurements

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

Water Evergreen Needleleaf Forests Evergreen Broadleaf Forests Deciduous Needleleaf Forests Deciduous Broadleaf Forests Mixed Forest Woodlands Wooded Grasslands/Shrubs Closed Bushlands or Shrublands Open Shrublands Grasses Croplands Bare Mosses and Lichens

Veg Type(x,y) → annual mean NPP(x,y)

## (2) Satellite Greenness index: NDVI

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

→ Seasonality of NPP

Seasonality of Respiration not well-defined

Net flux not well-defined at every location

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

## (3) Satellite Obs Deforestation

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

Tough to estimate

- deforested area
- Carbon inventory before deforestation
- Fate of removed carbon
- Fate of litter and soil carbon
- Tough to discriminate atm CO2 signature

## (3) Network of (not very tall) CO2 Flux Towers

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### At top of canopy

Vertical CO2 flux: vertical velocity (w), CO2 (c)

$$wc = (\overline{w} + w')(\overline{c} + c')$$
$$= \overline{w}\overline{c} + w'\overline{c} + \overline{w}c' + w'c'$$

 $\overline{wc} = \overline{w}\overline{c} + \overline{w'c'}$ 

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

## (4) Forest Inventory Analysis: Slow Process Observations

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Plot-scale measurement of carbon storage, age structure, growth rates: 170,000 plots in US!
- Allows assessment of decadal trends in carbon storage

#### **Conservation of Perturbation Carbon in Atm**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Ocean C from the Atm's Perspective:**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$F_{oa} - F_{ao} = \underbrace{k}_{GasExchRate(m/s)} \times (\underbrace{CO2*_{sfc}_{ocn}}_{moleC/m3} - \underbrace{\beta}_{so \, lub \, ility(T)} \times pCO2_{atm}_{sfc})$$

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

SST (°C)

Mav-98

Jun-98

Date

Jul-98

Aug-98

Sep-98

1.0

0.5

0.0 Mar-98

Marine **Productivity** redistributes DIC(z)

**Productivity is possible** when upwelling brings:

 Nutrients from below to euphotic zone Cold water

SST (°C) **Small Flux, small inventory** of organic C 24 **But alters DIC(z)** 

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

(1a) Time series: Hawaii (ALOHA) and Bermuda (BATS)

Carbonate ion decreasing: Tougher to precipitate

pH has decreased by 0.04 in 20 years - carbonate more soluble

Surface ocean pCO<sub>2</sub> increasing; follows the atmospheric record at Mauna Loa

## (1b) Time Series: Buoys

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Air-sea difference in pCO2 is highly variable:

>100 µatm

ATLAS

Chavez et al.

## (2) Research Cruises + Ships of Opportunity: Air sea difference in pCO2

#### **Jan-Mar Obs**

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

## (2) Air-Sea Fluxes of CO2

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

# (3) International Research Campaigns: JGOFS/WOCE global survey (1980s and 1990s)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

-Data management, quality control, & public data access

## (3) Campaigns: Total Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Conveyor Belt Transport of DIC:

•Southward in Atlantic •Northward in Pacific

Ocn currents ~ cm/s Time scale ~ 10<sup>3</sup> yr

![](_page_35_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Biology and DIC: •Depletion near sfc •Enrichment at Depth

#### (3) Campaigns: Penetration of Anthropogenic Carbon into Ocean Interior

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

(4) Autonomous Platforms: Profiling Floats --> Biology

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **SUMMARY**

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Vegetation and soils**: annual mean fluxes~0 locally, fluxes have large diurnal (~100 ppmv) and seasonal ranges (~30 ppmv)

## How to harmonize the diverse data?

- Spatial scales
- Observing frequency
- Observing periods varying meteorology and climate variability
- Incomplete suite e.g. respiration

## **Atm Carbon Models**

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \underbrace{\Im(C)}_{Atm\_transport+mixing}} = \underbrace{S}_{z=0}$$

fluxes,

#### Other ways to write the same equation

$$\sum_{\substack{b \\ forecast}} (t_{i+1}) = M(x_a(t_i)) \quad x=\text{conc, flux}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{mod \ el \ analysis}} (t_i) \quad x=\text{conc, flux}$$

$$x_{i+1} = \Phi(x_i) + G(u) \qquad \qquad \chi = \text{conc}$$

$$u = \text{fluxes}$$

An Atm Carbon Cycle Model

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \underbrace{\Im(C)}_{Atm\_transport+mixing}} = \underbrace{S}_{z=0}$$
  
SourcesSinks  
$$S = FF + LandUse + (F_{oa} - F_{ao}) + (F_{ba} - F_{ab})$$

What we've got:

- Sources/Sinks S known approximately or not well constrained
- C<sub>obs</sub> (actually mixing ratios X<sub>obs</sub>) biweekly, at ~100 stations near the surface
- "Decent" transport model (winds, turbulent mixing)

#### What we want:

- Where has the fossil fuel CO2 gone? {Better estimates of the magnitude and distribution of S (e.g. land exchange)}
- How did the fossil fuel CO2 get there? {improved understanding and representation of processes, e.g.

F<sub>ab</sub>=LUE\*AvailableLight; F<sub>ba</sub>=exp(αT);

 Protocol verification: What are the strengths of local/regional emissions and sinks?

### What we've got: (1) The Model: NCAR climate model

#### Source: Fossil fuel combustion (6 PgC/y)

CO2 RELEASE FROM FOSSIL FUEL COMBUSTION

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

0

![](_page_42_Figure_5.jpeg)

C(x, y, z) at steady state

#### What We've got (2): The data: Atm CO2 (for now)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

GMD Carbon Cycle operates 4 measurement programs. Semi-continuous measurements are made at 4 GMD baseline observatories and from tall towers. Discrete samples from the cooperative air sampling network and aircraft are measured at GMD. Presently, atmospheric carbon dioxide, methane, carbon monoxide, hydrogen, nitrous oxide, sulfur hexafluoride, and the stable isotopes of carbon dioxide and methane are measured. Contact: Dr. Pieter Tans, NOAA ESRL GMD Carbon Cycle, Boulder, Colorado, (303) 497-6678 (pieter.tans@noaa.gov, http://www.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccgg).

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Changing Monitoring Network

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

Difficult to maintain an international monitoring network

## What We've Got: (3) The Flux Priors

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Example I: A Simpler Model - reduce 3D atm to 2 hemisphere

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Example I: Interhemispheric Mixing: Two-Box Model, everything is perfect.**

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Example 1: Interhemispheric Mixing: Two-Box Model, everything is perfect.**

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Ex I: 2-Box Model Applied to the Carbon Cycle**

$$M_{N} - M_{S} = \frac{\tau}{2}(S_{N} - S_{S})$$
Consider the case  $S_{N} = 6 PgC/yr; S_{S} = 0$ 

$$\tau = 1 yr$$

$$\Rightarrow M_{N} - M_{S} = 3 PgC$$
Recall  $1 PgC \Rightarrow 0.5 ppmv$  if mixed in entire atm.  
 $1 PgC \Rightarrow 1 ppmv$  if mixed in a hemisphere.  

$$\Rightarrow X_{N}^{column} - X_{S}^{column} = 3 ppmv$$
Guess (3D model) surface gradient 1.5x column mean gradient  

$$\Rightarrow X_{N}^{sfc} - X_{S}^{sfc} = 4.5 ppmv$$

Aircraft, Hippo: obs of vertical profile

#### **Ex I: 2-Box Model Applied to the Carbon Cycle**

Forward problem: If 100% FF CO2 remained in atm

Obs  $\rightarrow$  only 50% of FF CO2 remains in atm

 $M_N - M_S = \frac{\tau}{2} (S_N - S_S)$  $S_N = 6 PgC/yr; S_s = 0$  $\tau = 1 yr$  $\rightarrow M_N - M_S = 3 PgC$  $\rightarrow X_N^{sfc} - X_S^{sfc} = 4.5 \ ppmv$ But  $(X_N^{sfc} - X_S^{sfc})_{obs} = 2.5 ppmv$  $\frac{\partial (M_N + M_S)}{\partial M_N} = S_N + S_S = sources - sinks$  $\frac{\partial (M_N + M_S)}{\partial t} \bigg|_{obs} = 3 \ PgC/yr$ sources = 6 PgC/yr $\rightarrow Sinks_N + Sinks_S = 3 PgC/yr$ 

## **Ex I: 2-Box Model Applied to the Carbon Cycle**

Inverse problem

$$Model: M_N - M_S = \frac{\tau}{2} (S_N - S_S)$$

$$Given: (X_N^{sfc} - X_S^{sfc})_{obs} = 2.5 ppmv$$

$$\rightarrow (X_N^{column} - X_S^{column})_{obs} = 1.7 ppmv$$

$$\rightarrow M_N - M_S = 1.7 PgC$$

$$Invert model \rightarrow S_N - S_S = 2 \frac{M_N - M_S}{\tau} = 3.4 PgC/yr$$

$$(sources_N - sin ks_N) - (sources_S - sin ks_S) = 3.4 PgC/yr$$

$$(6 PgC/yr - sin ks_N) - (0 - sin ks_S) = 3.4 PgC/yr$$

$$\rightarrow sinks_N - sin ks_S = 2.6 PgC/yr$$

Obs Carbon Budget

 $Sinks_N + Sinks_S = 3 PgC/yr$ 

#### Where are the Carbon Sinks?

Budget $sinks_N + sinks_S = +3 PgC/yr$ Gradient $sinks_N - sinks_S = 2.6 PgC/yr$  $\rightarrow sinks_N = 2.8 PgC/yr$ ;  $sinks_S = 0.2 PgC/yr$ 

Northern sinks > Southern Sinks !!!!!!!

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

"Data/Obs": Huge C sink in the large expanse of southern ocean; but large uncertainty in obs

N ocn "better observed"  $\rightarrow$  large Northern land sink!!!

## Example II: Perfect 3D atm circulation model. Steady state

#### (1) Forward Step

 <u>Premise</u>: Atm CO<sub>2</sub> = linear combination of response to each source or sink

1.0 Divide surface into "basis regions"

1.1: Specify unitary source (e.g.1 PgC/year) each year from each region

1.2: Simulate atm CO<sub>2</sub>
"basis" response with atm general circulation model

1.3 Reconstruct fluxes and concentrations: unknown source strength  $\mu_k$ 

$$\widehat{s}_k(x,y) \xrightarrow{\text{transport model}} \widehat{c}_k(x,y,z,t)$$

$$S = \sum_{k-regions} \underbrace{\mu_k}_{unknown} \times \widehat{s}_k(x, y)$$

$$C_{mod \ el}(x, y, z) = \sum_{k} \underline{\mu}_{k} \times \widehat{c}_{k}(x, y, z)$$

 $\widehat{s}_k(x,y)$ 

# Ex II: (Step 2) Bayesian Inversion: perfect circulation model

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

Inversion: Seek the optimal
 source/sink combination {µ<sub>k</sub>} to
 match atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> data:
 *minimize*

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

•Obs. Network – —mainly remote marine locations Trying to infer information over land Undetermined; non-unique solutions; prior estimates of source/sinks as additional constraints

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Ex IIa: Posterior from many "perfect" circulation models

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Model m:

 $\{\mu_{mk}^{posterior} \pm \sigma_{mk}^{posterior}\}$ 

Mean, std\_dev ( $\mu_{mk}^{posterior}$ )

Mean ( $\sigma_{mk}^{posterior}$ )

#### Little innovation in tropics, Africa Great innovation in S. Ocean

# Separate atm and oceanic inferences of air-sea CO2 flux

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Variation 1: monthly and interannual

Each region k: Pulse release into atm --> concentration for 24 months

$$\widehat{s}_{k}(x, y, \underbrace{t_{0}}_{l}) \xrightarrow{\text{transport}} \widehat{c}_{k}(x, y, z, t); \quad t = t_{0}, t_{1}, \dots, t_{24}$$

1*month* 

**Concentration at any time is the cumulative result of emission over the past 24 months** 

$$C_{mod\ el}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{k-regions} \int_{t-24}^{t} \mu_k(t') \cdot \widehat{c}_k(x, y, t, t') \cdot dt'$$

#### Find $\mu_k(t)$ that minimize J:

$$J = \sum_{t} \left\{ \sum_{stn} \frac{\left[ C_{obs}(stn,t) - C_{mod \ el}(stn,t) \right]^2}{\sigma_{stn}^2} + \sum_{k-regions} \frac{\left[ \mu_k(t) - \mu_k^{prior}(t) \right]^2}{\left[ \sigma_k^{prior} \right]^2} \right\}$$

## **Inferred Surface Fluxes**

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

Miller et al. J. Geophys. Res. 2007

# Variations 2: don't like regions and/or prior flux pattern for each region

- Ignore flux strength priors in cost function --> non-unique mathematical solution may not be physically realistic (e.g. Fan et al.)
- Solve for source strength for each grid box (Kaminiski et al.): unconstrained
- Geostatics: estimate flux correlation lengths (Rodenbeck, Michalak et al)

![](_page_59_Figure_4.jpeg)

Still rely on some transport model to estimate C\_model

# Inversions using Satellite CO2: gaps in space and time

- Old-fashioned inversion (tracer model, min cost function J) for surface fluxes (source strengths for K regions) as before (Rayner and O'Brien):
   c<sub>obs</sub>(stn,t) --> c<sub>sat</sub>(x<sub>overpass</sub>, y<sub>overpass</sub>, t<sub>overpass</sub>)
- 2-step: (1) Assimilate AIRS radiance at top of atm into weather prediction model --> full 4D CO2(x,y,z,t) (Engelen et al. 2009). (2) old fashioned inversion for surface fluxes (Chevallier et al. 2009)
- Estimation of C\_model (transport model) and min(J) uncoupled

## CO2 Data Assimilation: 2 General Classes

- Time-dependent inversion {Kalman filter}
   At time t<sub>n</sub>:
  - Find CO2<sub>analysis</sub>( $t_n$ ) by min(J): J includes flux parameters(regions), CO2<sub>obs</sub>( $t_n$ ), CO2<sub>forecast</sub>( $t_n$ )
  - update surface fluxes  $\mu$  for regions
  - model: update  $CO2_{forecast}(t_{n+1})$  (e.g. CarbonTracker)
- Variational Approach Find  $\mu$  that minimizes J. Use adjoint model (CO2( $t_{n-1}$ )) to efficiently calculate  $\partial J I \partial \mu$  (e.g. Baker)

## **Challenge: Transport Model**

- Transport model needed to connect surface fluxes to CO2
- NCEP/ECMWF analysis --> "best approx" to real atm circulation every 3 or 6 hours.
- NCEP/ECMWF Reanalysis: retrospective assimilation of all weather data using the same general circulation model (uniform model physics through time). Treated as "known", with zero uncertainty.
- NCEP/ECMWF Reanalysis: average of large ensemble of atm circulations; the average circulation is never realized. Yields T and humidity profiles after mixing, and transport model needs to reconstruct convective mixing. Spread of circulation ensemble not utilized

## **Assimilation of CO2+meteorology**

Following numerical prediction prediction: Every 6 hours:

- Assimilate raw weather observations (u,v,T,q,Ps) + AIRS CO2
- ≻ CO2(x,y,z,t)
- Estimate surface flux from conservation equation (e.g. estimate evaporation from the full 4D water vapor field)