Connecting dynamics to boundary layer cloud properties Paquita Zuidema, U of Miami

David Painemal, Chris Brodowski, Zhujun Li

Keck Institute, Sept. 2009

Motivation: marine boundary layer clouds exert a strong radiative impact upon global climate



September 2001, CERES TOA net crf

Common conclusion to assessment of GCM cloud feedbacks is the need to better elucidate cloudy BL processes



Several approaches I have/am trying:

-Use reanalysis to tell you the synoptic meteorology, satellite data to tell you the cloud properties (SEP)

-Use observed (lidar) vertical velocities, assess model microphysics with cloud radar

Southeast Pacific StCu a poster child for satellite cloud retrievals











Figure 1: (a) MODIS derive cloud depth versus ship-based cloud depth (hourly averaged), (b) MODIS derived CDNC versus in ship-based accumulation-mode aerosol concentrations. Sampling was carried out within 0°-30°S, 72°W-90°W.

Zuidema et al. 2009 Jclim





Figure 14: One point correlation at 850 hPa surface between temperature at 20°S and 75°W (square) and: subsidence (pressure velocity, dp/dt, colors), geopotential height (contours) and wind (arrows). Values of correlation higher (smaller) than 0.25 (-.25) for geopotential height and white contours for subsidence pass the 99% significates a Student's t test. The wind-temperature is only shown if the meridional composed of MAX statistically significant. Topography highe



Figure 8: Geopotential heights (contours) at 500 hPa and subsidence at 700 mb (dp/dt, colors) from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis: (a) composit



Figure 9: Profile from Antofagasta radiosondes for MAX CDNC (gray line) and MIN CDNC (black line). Dashed lines represent NCEP-NCAR reanalysis data at 22.5°S, 75°W. (a) Temperature and (b) zonal wind.

How good are the new reanalyses ?

YOTC, MERRA, CFSRR, ERA-Interim

cloud processes at smaller scales.....

modeling studies suggest differing impacts from drizzle on BL thermodynamic/turbulence structure

Stevens et al., 1998: drizzle suppresses turbulence

Feingold et al., 2003; cloud base drizzle enhances buoyancy

Comstock et al., 2005; drizzle associated w/ mesoscale circs.

Ackerman et al., 2009: large range of LES drizzle rates

Large-Eddy Simulations of a Drizzling, Stratocumulus-Topped Marine Boundary Layer





FIG. 1. Evolution of domain average LWP, entrainment rate (defined in text), maximum w'^2 (peak value in the w'^2 profile), and surface precipitation for simulations that include cloud water sedimentation and drizzle. Ensemble range, middle two quartiles, and mean denoted by light and dark shading and solid lines, respectively. Ensemble mean from simulations that include drizzle but not cloud water sedimentation denoted by dashed lines. Approximate ranges of measurements (averaged over dosed and open cells) denoted by dotted lines, with upper and lower LWP values estimated from Stevens et al. (2003a) and aircraft soundings, respectively; entrainment rates from Faloona et al. (2005); maximum w'^2 from vanZanten and Stevens (2005); and precipitation from vanZanten et al. (2005).

A single-column model intercomparison of a heavily drizzling stratocumulus-topped boundary layer

Matthew C. Wyant,¹ Christopher S. Bretherton,¹ Andreas Chlond,² Brian M. Griffin,³ Hiroto Kitagawa,⁴ Cara-Lyn Lappen,⁵ Vincent E. Larson,³ Adrian Lock,⁶ Sungsu Park,¹ Stephan R. de Roode,⁷ Junya Uchida,⁸ Ming Zhao,⁹ and Andrew S. Ackerman¹⁰

Received 12 February 2007; revised 11 July 2007; accepted 2 August 2007; published 27 December 2007.



cloud processes at smaller scales.....

Radiative flux closure in Arctic but not in Stcu !



FIG. 13. Modeled and observed broadband downwelling surface (a) infrared fluxes and (b) shortwave fluxes from 1 to 7 May (8

Optical depth also depends on absorption model



Figure 4. (a) Liquid water paths retrieved for 15 October from Hughes MWR data using the (Lieb87, L91), (R98, L91), (R98, G57), and (Lilj05, L91) models (grey and black pluses, grey and black circles, respectively) versus LWPs retrieved using (Lieb87, G57) model. (b) Similar to Figure 4a but showing the difference between the LWPs retrieved with each model and the (Lieb87, G57) model.



Figure 5. (a) Liquid water paths retrieved using the (Lieb87, G57) models, (b) (Lieb87, L91) models, (c) (R98, L91) models, and (d) (Lilj05, L91) models versus adiabatically calculated LWPs. The mean retrieved LWP for each model combination is indicated in Figures 5a-5d. Data are from the Hughes MWR on 15 October.

GVR (G-band Vapor Radiometer)

prototype instruments intended for dry,cold (Arctic) conditions, first use in stratus

C-130



upward-looking, small











While drizzle frequently observed on ship, SEP drizzle water paths typically small (<10 g/m2)

RICO

using lidar-derived vertical velocities, testing microphysical representations (Ben Shipway's ID model) comparing to scanning cloud radar reflectivities





Zhu and Zuidema, 09



Zhujun Li

These individual assessments contribute to broader views but statistics ultimately better for GCMs

Umiami recently funded to establish a Cloud-Aerosol-Rain OBserving (CAROB) system



Zuidema, Albrecht, Voss, Prospero

BSRN, AERONET, MPLNET



In summary:

Data/process observations necessary; consider what observations are worth investing in



September 2001, CERES TOA net crf