Trajectory Optimization and Mission Design for Human Space Exploration

Damon Landau

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology



© 2011 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

Programmatic Considerations

- Space policy shifts faster than vehicles can be developed
- Design a variety of missions tuned to different exploration objectives
 - Adventure, Science, Technology, Capability
- Identify mission types that repeat regularly and introduce schedule flexibility
 - Mass, Power, Flight time, Target characteristics
- Many potential NEA campaigns emerge that adapt to an evolving space program
- Key design driver is to bridge the gap from cislunar to Mars exploration capability

Simple Trajectory Model



Note:

Trajectories at target do NOT lend themselves to a simple model. Current rule of thumb is "most objects can be orbited*after* proper characterization."

From Barbee et al., "Methodology and Results of the Near-Earth Object (NEO) Human Space Flight (HSF) Accessible Targets Study," Paper AAS 11-444, AAS/AIAA Astrodynamics Specialist Conference, August 2011.

NEO Target Search

- 2-stage process: Search then Optimize
- Included catalogue of all known NEOs 7625 objects as of January 22, 2011
- Examined launch dates 2019–2036 in 7-day increments, min 30 d stay
- TOF <= 180, 270, 360, 540, 720 d
- Considered ~10¹³ missions
 - "Only" calculate ~2B trajectory legs
 - Filters to ~1400 objects
 - Run time ~1 day on single CPU (0.5 d Lambert fits, 0.5 d combining legs)
- Filter down to ~50k trajectories for optimization



A Few Targets 2024–2029



Lowering Entry Velocity

- Very efficient to reduce energy in Earth's gravity well, but efficiency is only available within a few hours of interface (plot on right)
- Orbit determination requires days to ensure proper entry conditions, precluding large maneuvers near interface
- In this case it's usually more efficient to alter the trajectory (i.e. encounter dates) or add deep-space maneuvers (as in 2029 Apophis trajectory) to lower entry speed



Apophis 2029 Launch Variable Entry Speed, long return



Apophis 2028 Launch 11.5 km/s Entry Speed



Architecture Trades Galore!



Mass, 2004 MN4, Scaled CPS

High-Earth Orbit Staging



Benefits of HEO Staging Node

Target Accessibility (CP-Crew)

- HEO staging with indirect escape lowers the effective mission ΔV by roughly 3 km/s
- 180-day missions to 10-60 m asteroids are then available every ~2 years with an effective ΔV comparable to lunar missions
- With 1-year missions, the DSV could get to 100 m objects with similar effective ΔV to lunar missions
- Progressively larger objects are accessible as flight time and ΔV capability approach the levels needed for Phobos / Deimos and Mars surface missions

This plot shows the ΔV required to allow at least one mission every Earth-Mars synodic period (2.14 years)



SEP Spiral



Solar Power Technology

Landau

- ISS Power is ~260 kW
- Power levels of 24 kW (BOL) are now routine on commercial communication satellites
- The DARPA FAST solar array is one approach for getting to > 100 kW

Maximum solar power per spacecraft has doubled approximately every 4 years for the last 50 years.

/13/2011

systems



Electric Thruster Technology

- SEP stage would use clusters of thrusters at power levels of 50- to 100-kW each
- Ion and Hall thrusters are very scalable
 - The literature includes descriptions of ion thrusters with power levels from 10 W to 130 kW
 - Hall thrusters have been tested at power levels from 10's of W to 100 kW
- Ion thrusters most useful for spiraling cargo from LEO to HEO
- Hall thrusters provide long-life and high-thrust and are needed for deep space operations
- A 50-kW, high-Isp, Hall thruster with an extremely long life could now be developed
 - A 50kW laboratory model Hall thruster has been tested up to 72 kW
 - "Nested Hall" concepts could scale up to over 100 kW per thruster





SEP Size Comparison



DSV IMLEO < 150 t, Flight time < 1 yr.

Landau

IMLEO = 99.6 t (DSV) + 35.3 t (crew), Power = 131.6 kW



5/9/2027 time: 0 d mass: 78.5 t $C_3: 21.7 \text{ km}^2/\text{s}^2$ Arrive Arrive: 1991 JW 1991 JW 🔍 10/1/2027 ~500 m dia. time: 144 d mass: 65.0 t stay: 30 d Return: Earth Return 5/3/2028 time: 360 days Depart mass: 54.5 t 9/13/201 entry: 12.0 km/s

mass: 61.7 t C₂: 23.6 km²/s² Arrive: 2008 EV5 11/11/2024 time: 141 d mass: 54.6 t stay: 30 d Return: Earth 6/18/2025 time: 360 days mass: 49.0 t entry: 11.9 km/s







Flight time \leq 270 d, SEP < 300 kW

IMLEO = 164.8 t (DSV) + 35.3 t (crew), Power = 292.7 kW



Potential Target Quality Improves with Exploration Capability

Landau

IMLEO = 60.9 t (DSV) + 35.3 t (crew), Power = 64.8 kW



Depart: Earth 12/10/2024 time: 0 d mass: 43.9 t C₃: 19.2 km²/s²

Arrive: 2007 XB23 1/19/2025 time: 39 d mass: 43.1 t stay: 30 d

Return: Earth 6/8/2025

time: 180 days mass: 41.9 t entry: 11.9 km/s

IMLEO = 212.6 t + 35.3 t, Power = 299.7 kW



Depart: Earth 2/17/2034 time: 0 d mass: 103.9 t $C_3: 35.5 \text{ km}^2/\text{s}^2$ Arrive: 1996 FG3 8/14/2034 time: 178 d mass: 78.9 t stay: 30 d Return: Earth 6/9/2035 time: 477 days mass: 62.9 t entry: 12.0 km/s

IMLEO = 98.8 t + 35.3 t, Power = 129.8 kW



Depart: Earth 4/13/2029 time: 0 d mass: 54.6 t C₃: 30.0 km²/s²

Arrive: 2004 MN4 4/24/2029 time: 11 d mass: 54.1 t stay: 30 d Return: Earth 3/15/2030

time: 336 days mass: 48.1 t entry: 11.7 km/s

IMLEO = 143.9 t + 35.3 t, Power = 362.6 kW



Depart: Earth 9/6/2036 time: 0 d mass: 92.2 t C_3 : 16.4 km²/s² Arrive: 1994 CN2 7/24/2037 time: 321 d mass: 76.8 t stay: 30 d Return: Earth

Exploration Program

one mission every 2.14 years



Here's a Pretty Picture

