



# Transiting Exoplanets: Photometry and Spectroscopy

C. J. Grillmair 10 November, 2009







## **Transmission Spectra**



#### Primary transit spectra of HD 209458b with STIS spectroscopy



Charbonneau et al. 2002, ApJ, 568, 377



Vidal-Madjar et al. 2004, ApJ, 604, L69



#### Broad-band photometry at secondary eclipse



Charbonneau et al. 2005, ApJ, 626, 523



16 μm Peak-Up Imaging Deming et al. 2006, ApJ, 644, 560



## Spectroscopy Candidates to Date





Burrows et al. 2005

	UD 109/330	ND 2094000
Distance	19 pc	47 kpc
Spectral Type	K0 V	G0 V
Brightness	V = 7.8	V=7.7
Orbital Period	2.2 days	3.5 days
Orbital Radius	0.031 AU	0.047 AU
Orbital	85.79°	86.68°
Inclination		
Planetary Radius	1.154 R <sub>J</sub>	1.32 R <sub>J</sub>
Planetary Mass	1.15 M <sub>J</sub>	0.69 M <sub>J</sub>
Eclipse Duration	1.9 hrs	3.9 hrs
Flux Ratio @ 10µm	0.5%	0.4%

100700h



## **First Emission Spectra**

# HISTITUTE OF HICHNOOLO

#### Spitzer spectroscopy at secondary eclipse





## Spectroscopy



- Used the Infrared Spectrograph as 128 independent single-channel photometers.
- Since absolute calibration of IRS only good to ~2%, all measurements need to be differential.
- Several observing techniques have been tried, including:
  - Observe at one nod position, then at the other, and making sure eclipse profiles are mirror image of each other.
  - Nod back and forth slowly to prevent latent charge buildup.
  - Keep target at fixed position on the detector.



## Signal-to-Noise



- Within each eclipse observation, signal-to-noise ratio is dominated by the 2-4 hour duration of the eclipse.
- Increasing signal-to-noise ratio simply requires observing more eclipses.
- For a fixed time allocation, 6-hour observations provided sufficient out-of-eclipse measurements to establish star+planet flux without significantly compromising S/N.
- Future missions will need to have appropriate scheduling flexibility.



## **IRS** Spectra



#### **7.5** μm



 $14.5 \ \mu m$ 

Short-Lo, 1<sup>st</sup> order, R ~ 60 Short-Lo, 2<sup>nd</sup> order, R ~ 120 For HD 189733, used standard SSC pipeline processing products, or Basic Calibrated Data (BCDs).
2D images were backgroundsubtracted using off-order regions.
8045 individual spectra were extracted using SSC tool SPICE.

•Extraction carried out using fixed aperture windows and "non-optimal" extraction:

• Reduce noise, preserve pointing oscillations and detector drifts, and avoid undersampling effects.

# Time Series Spectrophotometry Latents and Drifts



Richardson et al. 2007, Nature 445, 892

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

## A Useful Constraint

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### 16 μm Peak-Up Imaging Deming et al. 2006, ApJ, 664, 560

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

For each wavelength bin, use a single, photometricallydetermined model light curve.
Fit only for a single parameter, namely the depth of eclipse.
Light curve fitting (as opposed to in-eclipse – out-of-eclipse subtraction) has the advantage that it makes use of the ingress and egress portions of the data.

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

Limited flat fielding accuracy and intrapixel sensitivity variations  $\rightarrow$  pointing oscillations can push measured fluxes in either direction.

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Telescope/Detector Signature Removal

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### (4) Iterate to minimize $\chi^2$

(3) Fit light curve – depth is the spectral contrast ratio at this wavelength.

(2) Fit for amplitude (+ or
-) of sawtooth and divide out. Phase and shape of sawtooth are unique for each eclipse
(b) Guess at the eclipse depth, divide by the scaled light curve, fit for and divide by a polynomial ramp.

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Non-periodic Pointing Oscillations

ORN,

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Refined sawtooth/pointing model

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Composite HD 189733b Spectrum

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

Grillmair et al. 2008, Nature 456, 767 Charbonneau et al. 2008, ApJ 686, 1341 Barnes et al. 2007, MNRAS 382, 473

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

Exposure time nonlinearities?Large-scale weather?

Swain, Bouwman, et al., in prep.

12

14

10

0.00

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

## NICMOS – CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, & Methane

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

HD 189733b Swain et al. 2009, ApJ, 690, L114 HD 209458b Swain et al. 2009, ApJ, 704, 1616

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

Redfield et al. 2008, ApJ, 673, L87

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Lessons Learned

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

Photometry and spectroscopy of transiting extrasolar planets are reasonably straightforward for bright stars and large, hot planets.

- Future transit observations of fainter stars and smaller, cooler planets would benefit from:
  - better pointing stability on all time scales
  - preflashing or other pre-exposure detector conditioning
  - simultaneous offset comparison star monitoring
  - improved inter- and intra-pixel flat-fielding
  - all-sky scheduling flexibility
  - broader wavelength coverage
  - bigger aperture