

## **Automated Feature Detection**

### Keck Institute of Space Studies "Gazing at the Solar System"

Pasadena, CA

2014/06/18

### **Michael C. Burl**

Machine Learning and Instrument Autonomy Group Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology <u>Michael.C.Burl@jpl.nasa.gov</u>

with contributions from many others: (A. Ansar, B. Bue, T. Fuchs, M. Garay, C. Padgett, D. Thompson, K. Wagstaff, ...)

Copyright 2014. California Institute of Technology. US Government Support Acknowledged.

UNCLASSIFIED



## Outline

- Intensity/pattern-based detection of planetary landforms
  - Volcanoes in the Magellan SAR Global Mapping of Venus
    - Cataloging landforms: Planetary Science meets Big Data
    - Rise of the (Learning) Machines
  - Craters
    - Scale invariance
- Exploiting Height for Feature Detection
  - Bue & Stepinski laser altimetry for Mars crater detection and terrain classification
  - Car detection
- Discovery
  - What if you don't know what you're looking for?
  - What if there are limited examples or target is highly variable in appearance?
  - Treat as an anomaly or salience detection problem
    - Staring allows use of height or temporal change as a signal for salience
- Triggering/Cueing & Event-based Observations
  - Use of onboard analysis to direct future observations
  - Pointing when and where something interesting is happening/about to happen
- Sensor Webs
  - Combining multiple instruments into a sophisticated observational system
  - Value of persistence

### **Motivation for Automated Image Analysis**

### Analysis of Large Datasets

Magellan (1989-94)

- SAR Mapping of over 98% of the surface of Venus!
- Returned more data than all previous
   planetary missions combined
- 30,000 images, hundreds of CD-ROMs
- An estimated 10<sup>6</sup> volcanoes
- An estimated 10 to 20 man-years to catalog manually.

Continued technological improvements in sensors and collection strategies (e.g., **staring**) will yield even larger datasets.

### New Science Opportunities Enabled by Onboard Analysis

Detecting and Retargeting Objects of Interest, cueing other sensors.

Downlink Prioritization and Derived Products

Vigilant Monitoring (watching a location for changes)

Closing Feedback Loops Onboard

### **Magellan SAR Imagery of Venus**



Developed learning-based recognizers that were trained from limited examples labeled by planetary scientists.

Applied recognizer in production mode to generate catalog of volcano locations and attributes (size, subtype, etc.)

## **Recognition of Geological Objects**



5

CSVM normalized mi00n242

opps = 301 dets = 197 fas = 72



ground truth detection false alarm

V-0475 💻 M.C. Burl



## Final ROC Curves



V-0474 M.C. Burl

## Detections based on Height (MOLA) (B. Bue, T. Stepinski, et al)



#### **Terra Cimmeria Classification**

#### (B. Bue, T. Stepinski, et al)



#### HIGHLANDS PLATEAU

Inter-crater plateau located at high elevations. Inter-crater plateau located at medium-high elevations, small slope. Inter-crater plateau located at medium-high elevations, larger slope. Inter-crater plateau located at medium elevations. Inter-crater plateau located at medium-low elevations. Inter-crater plateau located at low elevations, larger slope.

#### CRATERS



#### LOWLANDS



Knobby hills in lowlands.

#### RIDGES



Very steep terrain located on the outside walls of craters and on ridges. Steep terrain located on the outside walls of craters and on ridges. Escarpment between the highlands and and the lowlands.

#### CHANNELS



Studied thoroughly by Irwin and Howard (JGR, vol. 107, No. E7 pp 10-1 – 10-23, 2002)

5 classification groupings, highlands, lowlands, craters, ridges & channels.

Classification recognizes highland-lowland border.

Region area: 10<sup>6</sup> km<sup>2</sup> 593 km across at the equator 5,303,888 classifiable pixels 6D Digital Topography Model [Bue and Stepinski, Computers & Geosciences, 2006]

#### Detecting Stationary Cars in Wide Area Motion Imagery (WAMI) Data Scored Detections



Red=true detection (TP) Cyan = miss (FN) Green = false alarm (FP)

Simple detector based on intensity pattern yields large number of false alarms!

Slide 10

## **Scored Result – Detail1**



Red=detection (TP); Cyan = miss (FN); Green = false alarm (FP)

# **Positive Examples**



Positive Examples from left 1/3 of image.

33x33 pixel patches

Typical vehicle: 9-12 pixels width 18-27 pixels length

# False Alarms (1:1000)



Idea: Use DEM recovery and object height to reject many of these false alarms.

# **DEM from Multibaseline Stereo**



#### Improved Image-Based, automated, 3D generation

top picture, stereo based structure from motion
bottom picture, multi-base line structure from motion (more discrimination closer to the ground)

The multi-baseline technique provides better height estimation (over a specified range) and spatial resolution. Lamp posts and cars can be picked out in the bottom image but not in the top



(A. Ansar, C. Padgett, et al)

## **3D using Multi-Baseline Stereo**

#### (A. Ansar, C. Padgett, et al)



Multi-baseline stereo algorithm rectifies arbitrarily many images to plane slices parallel to ground and picks best slice for each pixel



Elevation map for cropped region around car. Area around car  $\sim$ 1.5 m higher than neighboring ground plane. Higher image resolution might address some remaining noise issues.

### 3D mesh data at car derived using multi-baseline stereo

(A. Ansar, C. Padgett, et al)



Incorporation of a "height test" reduces false alarms 15x, but loses a significant number of cars, as well. Have to be careful!

## **Taxonomy of Algorithms for Finding Objects**

Volcanoes, Craters, Cars

## Low - A Priori Knowledge - High

- No training examples
- No idea
- No regularity

## Discovery

## • One example

• Conceptual notion

Queries

Many training examples

- Regularity in appearance
- Sometimes an accurate 3D model is available

Learned Recognizers

Develop fairly precise model of how target objects look and variations thereon. 17

## **Taxonomy of Algorithms for Finding Objects**



could be used as an aid for "discovery".

### **Example: Martian Dunes – Fairly Irregular in Shape/Appearance**



Some objects, such as dunes, *may* be easier to detect with a "discovery" type algorithm, rather than with a recognizer that uses a precise model learned from examples.

**Idea**: Look for places that are different (anomalous) relative to their surrounding context.

#### **Prototype discovery algorithm**:

compare vector of oriented "early vision" filter responses in an area to responses in neighboring spatial areas.

## **Evaluation of a Filter-based Discovery Algorithm**

Applied prototype to a variety of different datasets without telling it specifically what to look for.

**TEST DATA** 

Imager	Target	Features
Ground-based ESO telescope	Moon	Craters
Magellan SAR	Venus	Volcanoes
Global Surveyor	Mars	Sand dunes
Voyager II	Triton	Ice geysers

**Prototype successfully "re-discovers" features known to be of interest to planetary scientists.** 

### An Alternative Approach to Discovery: (K. Wagstaff, et al) Landmark Detection via Contextually-Salient Histograms

<u>Landmark</u>: a visually salient region within an image (e.g., crater, dust devil track) <u>Salience</u>: context-sensitive (dynamic) judgment about how distinctive a region is

Approach: compute statistical difference between pixel and surrounding context Landmark: contour around high-salience region



K. Wagstaff, B. Bornstein, L. Mandrake, N. Schorghofer, A. Smith

# **Triggering/Cueing and Eventbased Observations**

M. C. Burl, V-0646

### **Rockster – Rock Segmentation through Edge Regrouping**



# **Onboard Analysis for Event-based**<sup>(D. Thompson, et al)</sup> **Observation: Asteroid Flyby**

- Targets have diverse morphologies, compositions
- Target locations are not known in advance
- Closest approach may pass quickly (sub-hour timescales)
- Geometry and illumination constraints
- Features of interest are highly localized





J. Veverka and 16 co authors. Near's flyby of 253 mathilde: Images of a C asteroid. Science, 278:2109, 1997.

### **Status Quo:** respond in days



time

### **Onboard analysis:** respond in minutes

(D. Thompson, et al)







Processing and analysis

Replanning and sequencing

Rosetta graphic courtesy NASA / ESA / US. Rosetta DSN image courtesy NASA / Caltech / JPL



#### (D. Thompson, et al)

# **Plume detection algorithm**



Edge detection



Convex hull



Segmentation and thresholding

- Detect bright material beyond the limb
- Enable monitoring campaigns, targetrelative data acquisition
- Detects most plumes with zero false positives



Image annotations from Thompson et al., PSS 2012. Original Hartley 2 images from EPOXI, courtesy NASA. Original Enceladus Image from Cassini mission, courtesy NASA.





# A Step further: Sensor Webs

(M.C. Burl, M. Garay, et al)

Earth Science Technology Office

- Timeliness respond quickly to short-lived events
- Deficiency overcome limitations of individual sensing agents
- Provide rich multi-modal observations, particularly of objects that evolve in space and time, such as clouds.
- Object-centric datasets



Credits: A. Kelley (Morning); A. McCLung (A-Train); J. Zehnder CuPIDO Slide 27



## Adaptive Sky Demonstration Overview

(M.C. Burl, M. Garay, et al)





## Follow-up Observations of Ash Cloud 3

#### (M.C. Burl, M. Garay, et al)

Terra Overpass 2007-10-16T00:05





MISR Stereo Heights indicate a Cloud at ~6 km, with lower clouds at 1-2 km.
MISR Aerosol Retrievals indicate nonspherical particles in this region, consistent with ash



•CALIOP lidar indicates an extremely thin aerosol layer at an altitude of ~6 km in the region. •The CloudSat radar does not have any returns in this

area, indicating extremely small particles.

#### Conclusion

Adaptive Sky feature tracking allowed observations made in midocean to be associated unambiguously with an ash cloud from the Bezymianny eruption, even with a time difference of ~20 hrs and a spatial separation of ~400 km.

First observations of a volcanic ash cloud from the CALIOP lidar on CALIPSO. Without tracking through the GOES BTD sequence, the returns would have been attributed to cirrus clouds instead.

MISR stereo-derived heights for the ash cloud can be compared directly to the CALIOP lidar heights; MISR aerosol product lends confidence to the assertion this is indeed an ash cloud.





# Satellite + CuPIDO Observations

(M.C. Burl, M. Garay, et al)

Earth/Science Technology Office



\*3-D = three-dimensional

Slide 30

## The Value of Long-term Persistence Stabilized GOES-West Imagery



Southern California Wild Fires/Smoke Plumes

(Y. Wang, M.C. Burl, M. Garay)



### Summary

#### Gazing will produce a flood of data!

- Need automated methods for analysis and cataloging feature locations.
- From stabilization/registration to 3D recovery to recognition/discovery

#### Height Recovery adds new information that can simplify detection/recognition

- Example using MOLA data for crater detection
- Using multi-angle height recovery to improve car detection is promising but difficult (at the limit)

#### Discovery

- Best approach under certain conditions unknown or highly variable appearance
- Height and temporal change detection from staring add valuable dimensions for discovery

#### Triggering/Event-based Observations

• Pointing when and where something interesting is happening/about to happen

#### Sensor Webs

- Combine observations from multiple sensors to overcome weaknesses of each.
- Collective capability is greater than sum of parts.
- Persistence extremely valuable for some types of observations.

