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The biggest challenge is the turbulent atmosphere

- Four categories of turbulence
  - Instrument
  - Surface (ground - 200 m) turbulence with a diurnal cycle
  - Geographic turbulence, independent of landscape above 4 km with minimum 5-9 km
  - High atmosphere, jet stream 10-15 km

All these categories of turbulence, except for stratospheric layers above 20 km, are problematic for interferometry to varying degree

The Hufnagel Valley model for the strength of turbulence with altitude
Challenges from the still atmosphere

• Absorption
  • Index \( n = n_R + j n_I \)
  • Scattering at short wavelengths
  • Absorption from induced polarity in molecules \((\text{N}_2, \text{O}_2, \text{CO}_2, \text{H}_2\text{O})\) at optical IR wavelengths
  • Absorption due to permanent dipole of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) at longer wavelengths

• Propagation Delay
  • Longitudinal and angular dispersion

\[ n = n_R + j n_I \]

\[ L = 10^{-6} \int N(s)ds \]

\[ L_B - L_R = 10^{-6} \int ds N_B(s) - N_R(s) \]

Results in transmission windows, but finite absorption within these windows gives strong sky background in the MIR
Back to turbulence: the clear turbulent atmosphere causes phase and amplitude fluctuations

• Which lead to coherence limitations
  • Coherent aperture size ($r_0$)
  • Maximum coherent integration time ($< r_0/V$)

\[ N \times r_0^2 \times \frac{r_0}{V} \gg 1 \]

• Vmag < 10
• Scales as $\lambda^{18/5}$
Overcoming coherence limitations with interferometric phase referencing

- Short coherence times limits phase tracking interferometers even on 8-10 m class telescopes to $K < 11$
- Off axis phase tracking or phase referencing can be implemented to realize coherence times of ~ minutes
  - Implemented on PTI, Keck, PRIMA for long baseline narrow angle astrometry
  - Scientifically exploited for the first time on VLTI-GRAVITY

**Src A and B within an isoplanatic angle, (few arcsec) then phases are correlated**

NA Astrometry  Shao & Colavita 1992
N-Element interferometers can measure closure quantities that are independent of the atmosphere

\[ N(N-1)/2 \text{ unique responses} \]

\[ (N-1)(N-2)/2 \text{ unique closure phases} \]

Ratio of observables = \( (N-2)/N \)

\[ = 33\% \text{ (N=3)} \]
\[ \sim 100\% \text{ (N = large)} \]

⇒ In general the reliability of closure phase imaging favors large N-arrays for more robust calibration and better uv-coverage. This may be easier done from the ground than space.
Ground based mid-IR nulling measurements for Exo-zodiacal Light

• Contrast for 1 ExoZodi $\sim 5e^{-5}$
• Equivalent to measuring a traditional visibility to about 100 ppm

$$\text{Null} = \frac{1 - V}{1 + V}$$

$$\frac{\delta V}{V} = 10^{-4}$$

• In practice ground-based Nullers have achieved $dV/V \sim 5-10 \times 10^{-4}$ at 0.1”

For planets $\frac{\delta V}{V} = 10^{-6}$
Mid-IR Nulling Limitations are analogous to those for coronagraphy

- Raw null depths are limited by E-field phase and amplitude fluctuations
  \[ N_{\text{raw}} \sim \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} + \frac{\varphi^2}{4} + \text{myriad other terms} \]
  \( \varphi < 2 \times 10^{-2} \) radians or 30 nm RMS

- Background rates are huge. Raw null depths can also be limited by improper calibration of background fluctuations
  \[ N_{\text{raw}} \sim \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} + \frac{\varphi^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma B}{N} + (\text{myriad} - 1) \text{ other terms} \]

- Raw null depths are also limited by dispersion, water-vapor seeing

PPM knowledge of background rates after chopping
\( B = 100 \times N \)
Hundred years of stellar interferometry from Mt. Wilson

Michelson & Pease 1921

50-foot Interferometer, Pease 1930

Mt. Wilson centric developments over 100 years (dates in crude lumps of ~10 years)
Mark I, II, and III stellar interferometers on Mt. Wilson (1979 – 1995) relied on significant advances in optics, electronics, computing, lasers, etc.

Mark I demonstrated phase tracking (Shao & Staelin 1977)
Mark II demonstrates a fast delay line (Shao et al. 1984)
Mark III show 2-color astrometric measurement (Shao et al. 1988)
Infrared Spatial Interferometer
11 um heterodyne detection with CO2 laser LO
and BW = 3 GHz
1988 –

E.g. Sutton et al. 1988; Hale et al. 2000

CHARA Array 1999 –
Six 1-m telescopes, max 330 m baseline
Major US optical interferometry facility and testbed for technologies
New challenges for ground based interferometry

• The desire for large baseline interferometric arrays
  • Photonic combiners in the mid IR bands
  • Fiber transport and fiber delay lines
    • Fibers are strongly dispersive media
    • Apart from silica, and perhaps ZBLAN glass, transmission in fibers is poor. Hollow core technologies?

• For ultra long baselines
  • Broadband heterodyne photonic receivers
  • Time transfer for syncing LOs
  • LOs
  • Computing, UHS data recording etc.